#### MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

May 12, 1966 10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

#### Roll call:

Present: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by REVEREND FRANK LANGHAM, American Bible Society.

MAYOR PAIMER announced the City of Austin had been honored by the Texas Safety Association in making awards to Department Heads and Employees. The Safety Program is credited with saving many lives and many dollars. This was illustrated when the City received 14 Safety Awards at the 27th Annual Conference of Texas Safety Association. Austin received more awards than any other City or industry in Texas. The Mayor presented awards to the following:

E. H. JENNINGS - Brackenridge Hospital

TOM TURNER - Electric Distribution

LOVALL HAIR - Parks Division D.P.W.

ED ROSEN - Accounting

FRANK KUSE - Data Processing

E. D. WIGINTON - Customer Accounting

BILL TOWERY - Traffic and Transportation

MISS MARY RICE - Public Libraries

J. C. BLANKENSHIP - Certificate of Merit Power Production

BOB BECKHAM - Certificate of Merit Engineering

DICK JORDAN

- Certificate of Merit Building Inspection D.P.W.

BOB BROWN

- Certificate of Merit Building Maintenance D.P.W.

CURTIS JOHNSON

- Certificate of Merit Water Filtration

CURTIS JOHNSON

- Certificate of Merit Sewage Treatment

Mayor Palmer said this was a very fine record set by the City Employees, and stressed the importance of safety, and congratulated the Departments on continuing the fine safety program for the City. He stated it was an honor to receive these awards.

MRS. GEORGE MacDONALD presented the Council an invitation and tickets to the IaGuna Gloria Fiesta, on May 14-15th.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING FOR PUBLIC USE THAT CERTAIN ALLEY TRAVERSING BLOCK 18, SWISHER SUBDIVISION, AND EXTENDING FROM THE NORTH LINE OF EAST MONROE STREET IN A NORTHERLY DIRECTION TO THE SOUTH LINE OF EAST ELIZABETH STREET; RETAINING CERTAIN EASEMENTS IN THE CITY FOR DOWN-GUY PURPOSES; SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING FOR PUBLIC USE THAT CERTAIN ALLEY TRAVERSING OUTLOT 63, DIVISION E, OF THE GOVERNMENT OUTLOTS, AND EXTENDING FROM THE NORTH LINE OF EAST 18TH STREET IN A NORTHEASTERLY DIRECTION TO THE SOUTH LINE OF EAST 19TH STREET; SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

### (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, a certain easement was granted to the City of Austin for sanitary sewer purposes in, upon and across a part of Lot 1, Block H, Greenwood Forest Section 2, a subdivision of a portion of the Isaac Decker League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Greenwood Forest Section 2 of record in Book 14 at Page 32 of the Flat Records of Travis County, Texas; and,

WHEREAS, the owner of the above described property has requested the City Council of the City of Austin to release the hereinafter described easement; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the hereinafter described easement is not now needed and will not be required in the future; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager of the City of Austin be, and he is hereby authorized to execute a release of the following described sanitary sewer easement, to-wit:

1,212 square feet of land, same being out of and a part of Lot 1, Block H, Greenwood Forest Section 2, a subdivision of a portion of the Isaac Decker League in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said Greenwood Forest Section 2 of record in Book 14 at Page 32 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, which 1,212 square feet of land being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNINGat a point in the north line of said Lot 1, Block H, same being the south line of Green Forest Drive, which point of beginning is the most westerly northeast corner of the herein described tract of land, and from which point of beginning the northeast corner of said Lot 1, Block H, same being the northwest corner of Lot 2, Block H, bears South 59° 44' East 51.51 feet;

THENCE, South 30° 16' West 90.00 feet to an interior ell corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, South 59° 44' East 21.49 feet to the most easterly northeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, South 30° 16' West 20.00 feet to the southeast corner of the herein described tract of land, same being a point in the north line of an existing ten (10.00) foot electric easement as shown on said map or plat of Greenwood Forest Section 2;

THENCE, with said north line of an existing ten (10.00) foot electric easement, North 59° 44' West 34.04 feet to a point in the west line of the aforesaid Lot 1, Block H, same being the east line of Richmond Avenue, for the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, with said west line of Lot 1, Block H, same being said east line of Richmond Avenue, North 08° 16' East 21.57 feet to the most westerly northwest corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, South 59° 44' East 15.63 feet to an interior ell corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, North 30° 16' East 90.00 feet to the most easterly northwest corner of the herein described tract of land, same being a point in the aforesaid north line of Lot 1, Block H, same being the aforesaid south line of Green Forest Drive;

THENCE, with said north line of Lot 1, same being said south line of Green Forest Drive, South 59° 44' East 5.00 feet to the point of beginning.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL

TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 10.53 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE WM. CANNON LEAGUE IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE. (Flournoy's Sweetbriar, Section 2)

Councilman IaRue moved that the ordinance be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin and be set for public hearing on May 26, 1966 at 10:30 A.M. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 10, 1966

City Manager

"To: W. T. Williams, Jr. Subject: Improvements to the Rump House Intake

and Spillway repairs

"Following is a tabulation of bids received at 10:00 A.M., Tuesday, May 10, 1966 for the construction of improvements to the pump house intake and spillway repairs at Morris Williams Golf Course known as Contract Number 66-C-3.

"Ed H. Page	\$ 6,743.90
Miller Concrete Contractors	\$ 7,053.65
Larson & Pugh Incorporated	\$ 7,253.30
Bill Tabor Construction Co.	\$ 8,679.25
City's Estimate	\$ 4,925.00

"I recommend that Ed H. Page with his low bid of \$6,743.90 be awarded the contract for this project.

"From: Beverly S. Sheffield

Director of Recreation

Signed Beverly S. Sheffield

"From: S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.

Director of Public Works

Signed S. Reuben Rountree, Jr."

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

# (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 10, 1966, for the construction of improvements to the pump house intake and spillway repairs at Morris Williams Golf Course known as Contract Number 66-C-3; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Ed H. Page, in the sum of \$6,743.90, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Public Works of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

#### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Ed H. Page, in the sum of \$6,743.90, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Ed H. Page.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 3, 1966

"Memorandum To: Mr. Wictor R. Schmidt, Jr.

Memorandum From: Herndon C. Bailey, Jr., Design Engineer

Rodger White, Acting Superintendent, Sewer Division

Subject: Montopolis Lift Station Sewage Pump

"Bids were taken in the Second Floor Conference Room of the Municipal Building on April 29, 1966, at 9:30 A.M. for one 10,000 gpm 57 foot head sewage pump. The attached tabulations show the cost analysis of these bids.

"It is recommended that the bid of Fairbanks Morse Alternate "A" for the bid price of \$12,092.00 be accepted. This proposal was the low evaluated bid in capitalized cost, capitalized annual expense and straight cost.

"All pumps complied with specification requirements.

"The bids were evaluated on the following basis:

0 = Operating Cost

C - First Cost (Rid Price)

R = Interest Rate (0.03)

N = Number of Years (15 years)

S - Capitalized Cost

A \* Annual Expense (Capitalized)

H = Straight Cost (Not Capitalized)

$$S = C \neq \frac{C}{(1 \notin R)^{n-1}} \neq \frac{O}{R}$$

$$A = CR \neq \frac{CR}{(1 \neq R)} \neq \emptyset$$

"Where 0 = Cost of KW x KW input to motor X no. hours.

"No. hours = 12 hr/day x 365 day/year Power Cost = 0.01 per KWH

"No split case pumps were bid. "

#### "MONTOPOLIS LIFT STATION PUMP

BIDDER	BID PRICE	CAPITALIZED COST	CAPITALIZED ANNUAL EXPENSE	STRAIGHT COST
Allis Chalmers	10,740.00	236,431.72	7,092.95	103,639.50
Fairbanks Morse (Base Bid)	13,162.00	229,347.05	6,880.42	99,830.20
Fairbanks Morse (Alternate "A")	12,092.00	224,717.27	6,741.52	98,021.15
Yeoman Brothers Co.	19,026.00	270,865.08	8,125.95	117,009.15"

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption: (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on April 29, 1966, for one 10,000 gpm 57 foot head sewage pump for Montopolis Lift Station; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Fairbanks Morse, in the sum of \$12,092.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Design Engineer of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Fairbanks Morse, in the sum of \$12,092.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Fairbanks Morse.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 3, 1966

"Memorandum To:

Mr. Victor R. Schmidt, Jr.

Memorandum From:

Herndon C. Bailey, Jr., Design Engineer

Rodger White, Acting Superintendent, Sewer Division

Subject:

Shoal Creek Lift Station Sewage Pump

"Bids were taken in the Second Floor Conference Room of the Municipal

Building on April 29, 1966, at 9:30 A.M. for one (1) 10,000 gpm 28 foot head sewage pump. The attached tabulations show the cost analysis of these bids.

"It is recommended that the bid of Fairbanks Morse on their base bid for the bid price of \$11,054.00 be accepted. This proposal was the low evaluated bid in capitalized cost, capitalized annual expense and straight cost.

"All pumps complied with Specification requirements.

"The bids were evaluated on the following basis:

0 - Operating cost

C = First Cost (Bid Price)

R = Interest Rate (0.03)

N . Number of years (15 years)

S = Capitalized Cost

A = Annual Expense (Capitalized)

H = Straight Cost (Not Capitalized)

$$S = C \neq \frac{C}{(1 \neq R)} + \frac{O}{R}$$

$$A = CR \neq \frac{CR}{(1 \neq R)} + 0$$

$$H - C \neq NO$$

"Where 0 = Cost of KW x KW input to motor X No. hours.

KW input to motor =  $\frac{\text{GPM x Head (Feet) x 0.746}}{3960 \text{ x pump eff x motor eff}}$ 

No. hours =  $12 hr/day \times 365 day/year$ 

Power cost = 0.01 per KWH

"No split case pumps were bid."

## "SHOAL CREEK LIFT STATION PUMP

BIDDER	BID PRICE	CAPITALIZED COST	CAPITALIZED ANNUAL EXPENSE	STRAIGHT COST
Allis Chalmers	10,395.00	130,986.34	3,929.59	56,277.55
Fairbanks Morse (Base Bid)	11,154.00	128,874.50	3,866.24	55,132.54
Fairbanks Morse (Alternate "A")	11,054.00	129,345.13	3,880.35	55,369.97
Yeoman Brothers Co.	19,282.00	161,547.70	4,846.43	67,750.66"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

## (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on April 29, 1966, for

one (1) 10,000 gpm 28 foot head sewage pump for Shoal Creek Lift Station; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Fairbanks Morse, in the sum of \$11,054.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by Design Engineer of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Fairbanks Morse, in the sum of \$11,054.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Fairbanks Morse.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

City of Austin

"Sealed bids opened May 2, 1966 2:00 p.m.

Tabulated by: W. C. Moffett

Rids for

Brackenridge Hospital Purchasing Agent

6.5" Image Intensifier for Surgical radiography suite Brackenridge Hospital

	Gilbert X-Ray Dallas, Texas	General Electric X-Ray Dallas, Texas	Westinghouse X-Ray Houston, Texas	Southern X-Ray Houston, Texas
Intensifier	\$ 7,965.00	\$ 7,075.00	\$ 6,516.80	
Maintenance-2 years	240.00	No Charge	600.00	No Bid
Total	\$ 8,205.00	\$ 7,075.00	\$ 7,116.80	

"Recommend award to General Electric, Dallas, Texas, as submitting lowest and best bid.

> "W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption: (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 2, 1966, for 6.5" image intensifier for surgical radiography suite in Brackenridge Hospital; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of General Electric X-Ray, Dallas, Texas, in the sum of \$7,075.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of Brackenridge Hospital, and by

the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of General Electric X-Ray, Dallas, Texas, in the sum of \$7,075.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City with General Electric X-Ray, Dallas, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"May 9, 1966

"To: Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager Subject: SALE OF HOUSES

"Rids were opened in my office May 9, 1966 at 10:00 a.m. for the sale of six buildings that Urban Renewal has turned over to us for disposal by demolition.

"Bids from five different individuals were received and a breakdown of the bidding is as follows:

	1151 Leona	1400 Rosewood	1804 Rosewood	1804 (R) Rosewood	1700 Rosewood	1195 1/2 Angelina
W. Johnston	67.00	87.00	13.00	13.00		48.00
Ken Gorbet	66.00	49.00	18.00	15.00	10.00	52.00
J. H. Means	51.00	45.00				4.00
C. Middleton				10.00		
A. Heyer	65.81	127.41	35.00	21.00	10.00	41.85

"The high bid on each building is underscored in red. Due to the fact that these structures are dilapidated and also the fact that it would cost this office several hundred dollars if we had to demolish them, it is recommended that these bids be accepted.

"Two bids in the same amount were received on the structure at 1700 Rosewood. The bidders were present for the bid opening and August Heyer withdrew his bid in favor of the other.

"If the bids are acceptable, the contracts will be forwarded to you for your signature and should be returned to me for attestation and distribution.

"From: Dick T. Jordan, Building Official Signed Dick T. Jordan"

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption: (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 9, 1966, for the sale of six buildings that Urban Renewal has turned over to the City for disposal by demolition; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of W. Johnston, in the sum of \$67.00 for building located at 1151 Leona; the bids of Ken Gorbet in the sum of \$10.00 for building located at 1700 Rosewood and in the sum of \$52.00 for building located at 1195-1/2 Angelina; and the bids of A. Heyer, in the sum of \$127.41 for building located at 1400 Rosewood, in the sum of \$35.00 for building located at 1804 Rosewood and in the sum of \$21.00 for building located at 1804 (R), were the highest and best bids therefor, and the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by the Building Official of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bids of W. Johnston, Ken Gorbet and A. Heyer be and the same are hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts, on behalf of the City, with said W. Johnston, Ken Gorbet and A. Heyer.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING THE NECESSITY FOR AND ORDERING THE PAVING AND IMPROVEMENT OF PORTIONS OF CERTAIN STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, APPROVING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SUCH WORK, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS, DIRECTING THE PREPARA-TION OF ESTIMATES, INVOKING THE ALTERNATE PROCEDURE PROVIDED BY ARTICLE I, SECTION 5 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND CHAPTER 106 OF THE ACTS OF THE FIRST CALLED SESSION OF THE 40TH LEGISLATURE OF TEXAS, DETERMINING THAT THE COST OF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN, PROVIDING A METHOD OF REIMBURSING THE CITY OF AUSTIN FOR A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS BY ASSESSMENT OF A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS AGAINST THE PROPERTY ABUTTING SUCH STREETS OR PORTIONS THEREOF TO BE IMPROVED, AND FOR THE FIXING OF A LIEN TO SECURE PAYMENT OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, STATING THE TIME AND MANNER PROPOSED FOR PAYMENT OF ALL SUCH COSTS, DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO CAUSE A NOTICE OF THE ENACTMENT OF THIS ORDINANCE TO BE FILED IN THE MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. (Tinnin Ford Road)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager reviewed the application for federal relocation grants for persons displaced by Decker project. This particular resolution authorizes application for relocation money which the Federal Government allows in addition to the open space land purchases. The maximum in these cases would be \$1500 to the person. It was also explained that the Federal Housing Act of 1965 did not become effective before some of the people in the Kealing Project were displaced or had to have their houses upgraded. Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

# (RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Title VII of the Housing Act of 1961, as amended, provides for the making of grants by the Housing and Home Finance Administrator to States and local public bodies to assist them in the acquisition and development of permanent interest in land for open-space uses where such assistance is needed for carrying out a unified or officially coordinated program for the provision and development of open-space land as a part of the comprehensively planned development of the urban area; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin herein sometimes referred to as "Applicant" desires to acquire and develop a recreational reserve of 3,753 acres on Decker Creek in Travis County, which land is to be held and used for permanent openspace land for uses detailed in the application; and,

WHEREAS, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the regulations of the Housing and Home Finance Agency effectuating that title, provide that no person shall be discriminated against because of race, color, or national origin in the use of the land acquired and/or developed; and,

WHEREAS, it is recognized that the contract for Federal Grant will impose certain obligations and responsibilities upon the Applicant and will require among other things (1) assurances that families and individuals displaced as a result of open-space land projects will be relocated into decent, safe and sanitary housing, (2) compliance with Federal Labor Standards; and (3) compliance with the Federal requirements relating to equal employment opportunity; and,

WHEREAS, it is estimated that the cost of acquiring fee simple title to the above described land is One Million Four Hundred Eleven Thousand Eight Hundred and Sixty-Five Dollars (\$1,411,865.00); and,

WHEREAS, it is estimated that the total amount of relocation payments to be made to eligible site occupants displaced from property to be acquired will be Seventy-Four Thousand Seven Hundred Seventy-Two Dollars (\$74,772.00); Now, Therefore,

#### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

- 1. That an application be made to the Housing and Home Finance Agency for a grant in an amount authorized by Title VII of the Housing Act of 1961, as amended, which amount is presently estimated to be Seven Hundred and Five Thousand Nine Hundred Thirty-Two and 50/100 Dollars (\$705,932.50) and that the Applicant will pay the balance of the costs from other funds available to it.
- That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, is hereby authorized and directed to execute and file such application with the Housing and Home Finance Agency, to provide additional information and to furnish such documents as may be required by said Agency, to execute such contracts as are required by said Agency, and to act as the authorized correspondent of the Applicant.
- 3. That the proposed acquisition and development is in accordance with plans for the allocation of and for open-space uses, and that, should said grant be made, the Applicant will acquire, develop, and retain said land for the uses designated in said application and approved by the Housing and Home Finance Agency.
- That the United States of America and the Housing and Home Finance Administrator be, and they are hereby, assured of full compliance by the Applicant with regulations of the Housing and Home Finance Agency effectuating Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None

Mayor Palmer brought up the following zoning application deferred from April 20, 1966:

NASH PHILLIPS & CLYDE COPUS, JR. By Bryant-Curington, Inc.

Tract 1 4809-5009 Manchaca Road To "B" Residence

From "A" Residence

Tract 2 5005-5007 Manchaca Road

From "A" Residence To "O" Office

Tract 3 4911-5003 Manchaca Road From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "LR" Local Retail 6th Height & Area NOT Recommended by the Planning Commission

Councilman Shanks moved that the change be granted to "B" Residence for Tract 1, to "O" Office for Tract 2, and to "LR" Local Retail 6th Height and Area for Tract 3. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: Councilman Long

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence for 4809-5009 Manchaca Road, to "O" Office for 5005-5007 Manchaca Road, and to "IR" local Retail 6th Height and Area for 4911-5003 Manchaca Road and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

The Council had before it a recommendation for fee adjustment for the Humane Society Animal Care. The City Manager reported copies of the recommendation of the Chief of Police had been furnished to the Council and noted he had recommended the Humane Society's Plan "A". The Chief of Police was of the opinion that with the increased fees collected that the City might be able to raise the monthly guarantee from \$700.00 per month to \$1200.00 per month. He said the Humane Society deserved and needed relief, and he was most anxious that it continue to handle the Dog Pound because the City could not build and operate a pound for this amount of money. Set out in the Humane Society's letter were three plans, as follows:

- PLAN "A" Revise the City Contract to provide a payment of \$1200 per month less the impounding fees collected by the Society for the City.
- PLAN "B" Payment by the City of \$1,000 per month, less impounding fees, with free utilities for the shelter's operation.
- PIAN "C" Payment by the City of \$900 per month, with the City to construct one or two additional runs at the shelter of the approximate dimensions set out.

Councilman Long moved that Plan "A" be adopted effective as of May 1st. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Council considered the recommendation of the Hospital Advisory Board that Brackenridge Hospital participate in the Medicare Program and that Blue Cross be approved as the fiscal agent. The City Manager reviewed the plan stating the fiscal agent would be Blue Cross. In general terms, the City would be paid its usual fees provided they were justified in the bookkeeping system to the Fiscal Agent's satisfaction. If the fees cover only the cost, they would pay those fees. Later in the afternoon meeting, the Council resumed their discussion. Councilman Shanks was interested in the additional patient load at the Hospital under Medicare. The Hospital Administrator estimated a 5% increase and at the present time 15% of the patient load was 65 years or older, comprising 24% of the patient days. The patient load would stay pretty steady at Brackenridge. Mayor Palmer asked if the nursing situation had improved. The Administrator reported there is still a need for nurses. Councilman Long referring to the letter from the Social Security Administration, that it "would presume that the designation it previously received of the Blue Cross Association as the fiscal intermediary is satisfactory unless, within 15 days, they heard to the contrary", asked if this were in effect since it was not answered in the 15 days. The Hospital Administrator stated it was in effect, but it was not binding, and that Blue Cross had been designated for Texas for most of the

Travelers and one of the Casualty Companies had been nominated in other parts of the Country. A majority of the Hospitals in the state had nominated Blue Cross as the intermediary. If the City did not approve Blue Cross as its fiscal agent, it would deal direct with the Social Security Administration in each case. Councilman Shanks asked if it might be well that Brackenridge not go in on Medicare to begin with so that other hospitals could fill up; and then the City could consider Medicare when and if that took place. The Administrator, Mr. Tobias, stated the City and Brackenridge had taken great pride as being an unrestrictive hospital, taking care of all conditions, of all people regardless of race, color, and national origin, and it should continue to be an unrestricted hospital; and even very critical army patients were cared for in the intensive care unit. Councilman Shanks reported there were vacant beds in other hospitals and Brackenridge was full, that something should be done to encourage other hospitals' filling up and taking some of the load off of Brackenridge. Councilman Shanks asked if by the City's refraining temporarily from Medicare, (but not to foreclose on it) and let the other hospitals participate to the point they would be up to the occupancy rate that Brackenridge is, would it not be better for the entire community. Mr. Tobias stated Brackenridge had a number of charity patients that are eligible for Medicare; and if Brackenridge has to provide the care, they should as well collect some of the funds. Councilman long moved that the recommendation of the Hospital Advisory Board be accepted and that Brackenridge participate in the Medicare Program, and Blue Cross be approved as the fiscal agent. Councilman LaRue seconded the motion. Councilman Shanks, in discussion, stated although he was for Medicare, he was not going to vote for this because from a community standpoint, he thought it would help the other hospitals. Brackenridge is a fine Hospital as evidence by the fact it is full and that these patients would be spread to other hospitals and help level off the hospital load of Brackenridge. Mayor Palmer referred to the Board recommendation "In regard to the Medicare Program, the financial arrangements for reimbursement are being and will be coordinated with the City Finance Office. The Hospital would request delaying the signing of any such contract until the method of reimbursement is understood". Mr. Tobias reported a meeting with the Finance Director; Waldo Hill, Assistant Administrator of Brackenridge; and a representative of Ernst and Ernst who are studying hospitals throughout the State, and are in the process of figuring out the amount of money there would be paid. Mayor Palmer asked for this information before the Council voted on this. Mr. Tobias stated this would be his recommendation. Councilman Long withdrew her motion, with the statement it would be better to wait until that information is in.

The Council had under consideration a lease in Southwood Shopping Center for a Library Branch. MR. DICK PETTWAY, member of the Library Commission described the location in the new center. The City Manager stated there would be 1360 square feet for \$170.00 per month, figuring out 12.5¢ per square foot, the lease could begin sometime in August, and the operation could be handled in the current budget. The bookmobile then would be moved to another area in southwest Austin, as this would become a permanent station. Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be authorized to execute the lease as recommended by him and the librarian. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager discussed a proposal of the State Highway Department to develop a Farm to Market Road along the alignment of Cameron Road from Loop III to Highway 290, stating a small part of that right of way is in the City limits, running along the west boundary of the new Reagan High School. The Highway Department needs a contract with the County and the City to provide the right of way for the project. Highway right of way bond money would be used for this project. Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be authorized to enter into this contract. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

MR. PAT CAIN discussed the proposal of "LITTLE TEXAS" as a matter of a tourism and an industry which should be recognized and encouraged, as it would be a matter of great economical benefit to the City and citizens. He filed a report from the Texas Tourist Council, pointing out the number of tourists in 1965, the millions of dollars spent, the length of stay, and the importance of tourists' staying one more day, which would mean \$154,000,000. It was brought out Texas had the beauty and scenery, but some man-made attractions are needed to keep the people over one or two more days. He listed the many businesses that would benefit Austin. A group of business men in Austin and out of the City propose an amusement park of high quality in comparison to Texas Under Six Flags and Disneyland; and 1968, during the HemisFair in San Antonio and the Olympics in Mexico, would be a good year to be in business for the travelling people. He filed an estimate of number of visitors going to San Antonio via Interstate 35. MR. CHARLES T. THOMPSON, Amarillo, had made a study of this venture in Austin and Mr. Cain filed a feasibility report indicating 966,747 visitors the first year.

Mr. Cain filed a report and discussed in detail the tremendous operating costs of the park to point out the magnitude of the industry. Salaries of \$830,000 would be paid locally to labor, unskilled and skilled, and to high school and University students during the summer. There would be \$257,000 a year paid directly to the City for utilities, land rent, state and city taxes, and an industry as good as this, as clean and as wholesome a family type of industry that would benefit all of the citizens in Austin, would be hard to find.

Mr. Cain said formal application was made to the Council in December 1965, and it had not changed except as to site, as the Butler Tract is not available. The City Manager pointed out the area on an aerial map. He said the Council had asked that he explore this matter to see if there were land that could be used for this purpose, and it was found the property at the east end of the lake was the most suitable and it had not been included in nor would it be in conflict with any other plan that the City has. The Mayor told Mr. Cain that the City Manager had briefed the Council pretty well on the property. Councilman Iong said she did not realize anything was included on the north bank and there were plans around the Holly Street Power Flant for a public parksand beach and swimming pool. The City Manager pointed out a little tip of property of about three acres to the east of the Sand Beach, south of the Stroberg land that Mr. Cain was requesting. In answer to Councilman Iong's inquiry about parking, he stated there were detailed plans for the parking area east of Fiesta Gardens, and he showed the sites in which the play area

and picnic areas would be located. Mr. Cain stated an entrance from the north side was needed, as they plan a skyride across the lake, and it will be necessary to have sufficient land on the north side to provide some type of activity for the people while they are waiting for transportation. The City Manager showed where the ball fields are under construction.

MR. FRANK MONTGOMERY, representing MR. KASSUBA showed their property in front of Kassuba Beach, and the contiguous city land which they wanted to lease. Councilman long wanted this property left open for the general public.

The City Manager enumerated the parcels of land involved, as the little tip on the north bank; the land area out of water across the river which is a barrier strip required in connection with the sand and gravel operation and which will remain and be part of the lease; the  $12\frac{1}{2}$  acre tract immediately south of the strip which has been used most recently by Travis Materials as an operating base; the adjacent little strip of land varying from 1 to 20' westerly on the north side of the newly proposed Lake Shore Drive, to the point where the peninsula extends out into the river, the peninsula and the island. All of that totals, including the land on the north bank of the river, about 20 acres out of water. The east side of Pleasant Valley Road, could be used for parking and bridging the road to provide access from the parking lot. The tract purchased from Mr. Steiner on the east side of the road less the property under lease to Mr. Zachry would be included for parking space and maintenance area. The City Attorney reviewed the various leases stating Capital Aggregates had given assurance that they would be willing to modify their lease in order to widen an area in this location so that overall their quantity of sand and gravel would not be reduced, but the configuration of the tract of land would be increased so there would be more land out of water. Brief discussion was held on the road which was shown on the photo, but the City Manager stated it would preferable to have a walkway for pedestrians than a road at this location.

Mr. Cain stated Mr. Thompson was certain this location would be a successful one on the lake, and that this would make a unique park, as there would be no other like it in the country. He reviewed the basic lease of Fiesta Gardens a similiar endeavor, and reviewed changes that would be applicable to their proposal, stating Little Texas proposed a 50 year lease beginning in June, with five additional 10 year periods, the same as the Fiesta Gardens' lease. Base rent the first year would be \$375 per month; \$750 per month for the second year and thereafter, plus 2.4% concession and 8% admissions. It was brought out in cases where the City provided facilities, the concessionaire pays a higher percentage. The park will be all electric and proper indemnification will be furnished--\$100,000 per person, and \$3,000,000 per accident. They asked the right to operate motor boats and submarine devices upon the water, but not in the main body of the lake. They pledge to build a recreational center at a value of \$3,000,000 commencing within six months from the date of the lease and completing the attraction within 24 months. By the end of the first year, they will have spent \$100,000. The backers of this endeavor felt they needed in the area a place of free access to the public, and in the proposed lease they pledged premises that would be free public picnic and rest area of not less than 25,000 square feet with at least 4,000 square feet of shade, drinking fountains, appropriate seating for use and enjoyment of the general public and appropriate landscaping and beautification. This area would include lake shore, and would be outside the pay area. The operation will be from April 1 to September 30th. Mr. Cain explained another change in their lease in case of some default of poor bookkeeping or for some reason the rent could not be determined they pledge to pay \$17,500 a month as against the other lessee's provision for only \$1,500 a month.

Mr. Cain described the recapture provision in that this lease would be the same as the other for the first five years, ten times the annual gross; between the 5th and 10th year, eight times the annual gross; 10-15 six times the annual gross; and instead of a 2 times an annual gross or 9.5% times the annual net income, Little Texas' lease struck out the 9.5% times the annual net income. Councilman IaRue discussed in detail the recapture clause, noting the formula for the first five years would amount to \$100,000,000 the City would have to pay to recover this property. He followed through on the formula and pointed out the amount of money the City would have to pay to recover this property in case of necessity. Councilman Shanks asked what would be a necessity for taking this property back? The City Attorney pointed out there was no recapture for any streets or highway, as the City would get the land at no expense to the City, and the City retains the right throughout the entire contract to maintain and repair any public utility without any expense to the City. Mr. Cain said they would like to have the right or first refusal to lease or buy adjoining land in the area, to protect their investment. They wanted the right to construct overhead bridges and lines to excavate within the leased premises.

The City Manager stated the land area with the exception of the north shore was purchased by the City for industrial use, and purchased with Electric Department funds, is no part of the Park and Recreation System, and none of the funds used for its purchase were Parks and Recreation Funds. This is land that could be sold by the City. Mr. Cain stated due to the time element, they would have to do what they can, and the peninsula, island, and the property are beneficial to their purpose. Perhaps in some areas they might want to add a little more fill, but he would assume it would stay about the same.

Councilman Shanks stated this would be by far the largest industry in Austin.

Councilman IaRue asked about other leases comparable to this other than the Fiesta Gardens. It was stated several 50-52 year leases were in force; and there were no recapture provisions. General discussion was held on the recapture provision in this proposed lease and the amount involved, or the eminent domain route, damages, etc.

Councilman Long discussed the City property in front of Kassuba Development and the peninsula, stating she wanted it to be used by the general public rather than having it fenced off and the public have to pay to use it.

Councilman IaRue submitted figures to arrive at a value of the property in relation to a value of the lease, stating there were 8400' frontage on Pleasant Valley Road, the Iake front and the river. One appraiser listed \$200.00 a front foot. One of the last sales along the lake frontage, but not having access to the water was to the Housing Authority, which paid \$205,000 for approximately 75,000 square feet, making a cost of about \$60,000 an acre. If half of that amount were considered, it would run the lease up considerably more than what is being discussed. Six percent on the investment would be more than the 2.4% internal sales and 8% of the gate receipts. Mr. Cain pointed out the use of the land could produce considerably more income on the percentage value than on six percent of the investment.

Councilman IaRue then discussed the income approach, comparing fees received at Dallas Fair Park,--rides  $17\frac{1}{2}\%$ ; food 15% and novelties, 20%. Mr. Cain pointed out in his proposal the rides would be included in the admission. Councilman IaRue compared the Dallas Fair percentages--rides, 35%; and food

 $17\frac{1}{2}\%$ , as compared to 2.4%; games inside, 25% up to the first \$50,000, and 35% on novelties. Mr. Cain said this was not applicable in this case, as those people do not invest in buildings or provide facilities. Their capital is only in the rides or they get a concession. Comparison was made on the Livestock Show in Austin. Councilman Shanks discussed percentages on gross receipts where the lessee did not own the land, buildings, and facilities versus the percentage of gross receipts, where the concessionaire had an investment on the Councilman LaRue compared Stewart Beach Association, stating it received 35% gross and furnished no facilities. He found nothing to compare with the percentages here of 2.4% or gate receipts of 8%. He said the City would do better by selling the property and getting taxes on the land and improvements than by leasing it. He said he was not opposed to development of public property by private capital, as this development was in keeping with the development of the lake shore if it were handled in a proper manner, considering the term of the lease and financial arrangements. He believed a lease could be amortized in 25 years; but 142 acres under this arrangement is too many acres and not enough money. It was pointed out there would be \$3,500,000 invested in 24 months, and half the property was not on the lake.

The City Attorney figured if the property were valued at \$30,000 an acre including the part inundated, that would be \$4,380,000 and 6% return would be \$262,800 annually. If the \$17,500 a month is used, that would be \$210,000 a year rental. The guarantee is \$750 a month.

MR. FRANK MONTGOMERY presented MR. ART KEDROSKI, Vice President of Kassuba Development Corporation, and pointed out on a map the property which they were interested in leasing, being a strip between their property and the water, and a peninsula, and asked if this were park property. The City Attorney stated it was purchased from utility funds and it was leased to Travis Materials for a gravel pit, since they had to be removed from the power plant site. Mr. Montgomery reported he submitted a letter to the Council two weeks ago, asking if and when the Council decided to lease the property, they wanted to make a proposal, and they would like to start negotiating immediately. He pointed out Mr. Kassuba had dedicated for rights of way and thoroughfare on the 200' boulevard, on Tinnin Ford Road and Belmont Drive approximately 11 acres, valued in excess of \$70,000. Two buildings will be ready for occupancy within the next few weeks, and the first phase is a \$200,000 project. Four phases of apartment construction will involve \$10,000,000 and the community center will be about \$10,000,000 totalling about \$20,000,000 on the tax rolls. MR. KEDROSKI said if any structures were placed on this property in front of theirs, they would not be able to obtain financing from their permanent lender.

MR. MONTGOMERY repeated they would like to negotiate for this peninsula and property in terms of their letter submitted for the tenants in the project, and also for the public. The Mayor asked if he would lease it on the basis of figures quoted on the value of land today. Mr. Montgomery said they would buy it; but if they kept it open to the public why should they pay? They would consider leasing it for private use, without any recovery clause whatsoever. Councilman long said it would be better used by the citizens and not have it fenced off and have a charge for it.

MAYOR PAIMER asked Mr. Montgomery, Mr. Kedroski and Mr. Cain to get together to see if the proposal would effect them as greatly as they think it might. Mr. Cain was willing to do this, stating he saw no harm that would be done to them; but any that might be done, would be offset by the great good that such an industry as theirs would mean by coming into Austin. Plans were

to have a small train running out on the peninsula, a stage coach trail, and a walkway. The area would be decoratively fenced.

COUNCILMAN WHITE reported receiving many calls from very influential people asking him to postpone this proposal until it was properly publicized in detail. He suggested that Mr. Cain and Mr. Montgomery get together and work out something. Mr. Cain agreed, but stated they were in conflict—both wanting the peninsula property. Councilman Long said her interest was that the general public have the use of this area. Mayor Palmer noted that 3/4 of the river is open to the general public.

Councilman Shanks moved that MR. WILLIAM E. ROTH be heard. The motion was seconded by Councilman Long. Roll call showed a unanimous vote.

MR. ROTH, Unitarian Council for Social Responsibility Observer of the Council Meetings, and its Chairman for development of Town Lake, stated he was speaking today for himself, a citizen and taxpayer, and his interest was in preserving the beauties of nature and the great outdoors for future Americans to enjoy, and he preferred no commercial recreational developments on Town Lake.

COUNCILMAN SHANKS asked if this project were not approved by the Parks Board and Town Lake Committee. The Mayor said approval was given in principle but not on the location. Mr. Cain said they were directed to seek approval by the Town Lake Study Committee and Parks and Recreation Board, and both bodies approved the project in principle. Councilman LaRue read from the Minutes of the Parks and Recreation Board, in that it had discussed the request for land for Little Texas, voted a favorable interest in this project, but could do nothing until something concrete was proposed. Mayor Palmer stated the Town Lake Development Plan was heard at public hearings and discussed, and was adopted by the Council as a guide line.

Councilman Shanks moved that MRS. JANET REED be heard. The motion was seconded Councilman LaRue. Roll call showed a unanimous vote.

MRS. REED, President of the League of Women's Voters, inquired about recreation requirements of the rapidly developing southeast area; cost of the city to serve this proposal; effect of a precedent on long-term leasing of public land; and if such long-term leases would affect applications for federal funds for open space. The Mayor answered long term leases were in effect, the terms being in direct relationship to the investment made on a specific tract. He visualized no adverse effect on applications regarding open space. As to the recreational needs, he said the City continuously worked on a five year capital improvement plan; and when the southeast area develops where additional facilities are needed, the City would be planning for them. The City Manager explained playgrounds were developed adjacent to elementary school sites. An area or district park in the southeast area is being planned when the area develops but it will be farther; south rather than near the river. He stated there is quite a bit of shoreline on the river on the east side.

Councilman Long moved that MR. EDMUNDS TRAVIS be heard. The motion was seconded by Councilman LaRue. Roll call showed a unanimous vote.

MR. TRAVIS stated most of the people did not know about this proposition's

coming up, and asked the Council not to act hastily but to give the public a chance to find out what it is about. He did not know for what purpose the land was acquired. The City Manager stated part of it was purchased for the development of a lake to provide cooling water for the Power Plant; part was acquired for trading of other property which had to be inundated, to provide an operating base for a gravel concern. It was bought with utility money, and it was thought the excess would be sold.

MR. MONTGOMERY asked for an answer today regardless of what was decided on Little Texas, as they would like to enter into negotiations for the 12 acres they requested in their letter of April 20, 1966. The agreement was discussed in terms of a 25-50 year lease; if the lessee defaulted in any way, was derelict or handled it improperly, the land would revert to the city; or if the City had to have it for some particular purpose, there would be no recovery charge. Mayor Palmer foresaw problems in leasing this property and keeping it open to the public, and tenants in the apartments complaining about the public; and the policing of the property. If the property were under lease, the lessee would have to police it. Mr. Kedroski said they would have to maintain the strip anyway.

Later in the meeting, the Council continued its meeting with the developers on Town Lake. Councilman LaRue asked to correct figures on the price paid by the Housing Authority, stating for 70,000 square feet, that would be \$110,000 an acre rather than \$65,000. Councilman Long stated the Gondolier paid \$33,000 an acre and the Shriners paid \$25,000.

After discussion, Councilman Long moved that this be sent to the Parks and Recreation Board for review and ask that they give a report by next week; also it will give the City Council Members that are not as familiar with this as they should be an opportunity to review it further. Councilman LaRue seconded the motion. Discussion was held on whether the Council would honor Mr. Kedroski's request that he could not be back until two weeks from today; and what the Parks Board would be requested to review. After discussion, Councilman Long restated her motion that the hearing on the Little Texas proposition be postponed for one week in order to give the City Council Members who wish to make further study an opportunity to do so; and furthermore that this be sent to the Parks and Recreation Board for study and review and report back to the Council. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White Noes: Councilman Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Councilman Shanks made the following statement concerning his vote:

"We all went out when we were campaigning; we all said we were going to get industry just as hard as we could. Here we have a chance to get industry whether it be apartment house industry, or whether it be another type of industry, and we are dilly-dallying around. I vote 'no'."

Councilman White made the following statement concerning his vote:

"During the campaign all of us made a lot of promises, not only to the public but to one another, and we are not carrying them out. I vote 'aye'."

Mayor Palmer made the following statement concerning his vote:

"I feel real strongly about this because I do feel that the Parks and Recreation Board has reviewed the overall concept, and the report that came back was they favored this type of operation but not at the location that was submitted by Mr. Cain; and at that time we did instruct the City Manager to work with Mr. Cain and try to work out an alternate site, and they have spent many, many hours in working on this; and I believe that since the Parks and Recreation Board has already approved in principle the whole concept, I do not see that a great deal can be gained by referring this back to the Parks and Recreation Board, and I vote 'no'."

The Mayor asked for a motion that a decision on this be made in one week or two weeks. Councilman LaRue moved that a decision be made not later than two weeks from today. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Councilman LaRue stated that it be explained to the individuals. The Mayor announced that an answer would be given one way or the other, and set this for Thursday 10:05 A.M., May 26th.

Councilman Long moved that the Council recess until 3:00 P.M. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

RECESSED MEETING

3:00 P.M.

At 3:00 P.M. the Council resumed its business.

Councilman Long moved that Brother Edward Lee, Dean of Student Life, be granted permission to have a fireworks display at St. Edwards University on May 13th. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Councilman Iong moved that TEXAS BANKERS ASSOCIATION be granted permission for a fireworks display, Monday, May 16th, 9:00 P.M. on the south bank of Town Iake even with the Auditorium. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Councilman Shanks moved that the City Manager be instructed to add an automobile to the existing City car pool. The purpose of this vehicle will be for the use of the City Council Members in relation to official City business. The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: Councilman White

Councilman White stated anytime the Council wanted to go anywhere, there was a way provided. Any member of the Council could call the City Hall and a car would be sent out.

Mayor Palmer made the following statement regarding his vote:

"I will vote 'aye' since it is for the entire Council. There are many times the Council goes together to various functions."

The City Attorney had an inquiry as to whether the City would lease property at the corner of Iee Barton Road and Barton Springs Road. MR. FRANK MONTGOMERY is willing to pay \$250.00 a month ground rent and construct a building for a doughnut stand. The Traffic Engineer opposes any curb cuts in the turn-out along Iamar Boulevard, as it is an extremely congested corner. The curb cuts would have to be on Iee Barton Road. There are about 18,000 square feet in the tract. The City Manager stated there should be a contract providing that the nearest curb cut for a driveway on Iee Barton Road be at least 25' back from the corner. After discussion, Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to negotiate for a lease, fixing the term of years, and providing for the proper setback. The motion, seconded by Councilman IaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Councilman Long wanted it pointed out this was not taking anything away from the general public, as those now using this tract are using it in conjunction with the commercial enterprise adjoining it.

Councilman Long moved that bids be taken on Contract X-108, Miscellaneous Pumps for the Decker Creek Power Plant at 10:00 A.M., June 2, 1966. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

After reviewing figures on the Pedal Boat operation, Councilman LaRue moved that the Recreation Director's and the City Manager's recommendation be accepted and the Pedal Boat Concession contract be extended on the same terms through October 31, 1967. (25% gross receipts) The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager explained the contract for gasoline, oils, etc, provided for an option to extend the contract for a period of 90 days. The Purchasing Agent recommended in view of the present prices of gasoline that the contract be extended. The City Manager listed the prices now being paid. Councilman LaRue moved that the City Manager be authorized to extend the present contract with MOBIL OIL COMPANY for an additional 90 days. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager called attention to the various reports furnished the Council with the Agenda--the Electric Utility Progress Report, Status of Water and Sewer Contract Projects and Street Paving Report.

The Mayor read a memorandum from the Traffic and Transportation Engineer regarding the request for a stop sign on King and 32nd Streets, rather than a yield sign. The report was that after an investigation to determine the need of a stop sign was made, it was found that the yield sign was obliterated by vegetation and shrubbery which was blocking the view of traffic and it has been removed. There is very little traffic on King Street and it appears a "Yield right of way" is sufficient control, and it was recommended no further action be taken.

MAYOR PAIMER read a letter from Reverend Harold L. Cooper, Pastor of St. James Episcopal Church, regarding the proposed ordinance creating a Commission for Equal Opportunity.

MAYOR PAIMER read a resolution from the President, District No. 5, Texas Nurses' Association, Mrs. Henrietta Callan, R.N., urging the Council's prompt action in devising and passing a City Code requiring fluoridation of the Austin public water supply. The City Clerk was asked to answer this letter suggesting Mrs. Callan contact Mrs. George Slaughter who is interested in circulating an initiative petition.

MAYOR PAIMER asked if everyone received the letter from MR. FRANK RUNDELL from the Austin Evaluation Center. The Mayor said the Council had set up \$4,200 for the center and it appears the amount is going to run about \$15,000. He suggested holding this up until next week until Councilman Long received her letter, and other Council Members had an opportunity to review the request.

The City Manager reported that the following zoning applications had been referred to the Planning Commission for recommendation and had been set for public hearing at 10:00 A.M. on June 16, 1966:

J. T. McMILLIN 1109-1111

1109-1111 Stobaugh Street

From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial

BILLY ZIDELL
By Robert C. Sneed

1702-1710 West Avenue

From "B" Residence 1st
Height & Area
To "B" Residence 2nd
Height & Area

Height & Area

NORMA MAURINE CANTWEIL By Wayne Walden	410 Park Lane Rear of 408 Park Lane	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area
AUSTIN METER SERVICE By Tommy Smith	2111-2113 Shoalmont Drive	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "C" Commercial 2nd Height & Area
T. J. BROWNING By Bill Barber	Rear of 1320 East 51st Street 1400-1406 East 51st Street	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
SAM LANE By Ed Kreuek	1122-1124 East 53rd Street 5300-5310 Cameron Road	From "C" Commercial 6th Height & Area To "C-1" Commercial 6th Height & Area
KIRK WILLIAMSON By Paul D. Jones	509-513 Pecan Grove 1414-1418 Sunset Lane	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area
M. H. CROCKETT, ESTATE, By M. H. Crockett, Jr.	319-321 East 19th Street	From "C" Commercial 2nd Height & Area To "C-1" Commercial 2nd Height & Area
H.H.H. & R. INC. By Bryant-Curington Inc.	6509-6511 Berkman Drive 6508-6510 Hickman Avenue	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
KIRK WILLIAMSON	5801-5827 Sheridan Avenue 1100-1104 Reinli Street	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area
RAY SMITH, JR.	812-816 East 8th Street	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
MRS. MARIE SHIERLOW By Charles Shierlow	1504-1512 East Side Drive	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
O. D. KENDRICKS & MILTON J. RAILEY By C. Darrell Hopkins & Associates	Rear of 2132-2200 Hancock Drive	From "GR" General Retail To "C-1" Commercial
O-MERA-CHANDLER CORPORATION & STUART A. NEMIR By Tom Curtis	411-419 West 24th Street 2303-2321 San Antonio Street	From "C" Commercial 2nd Height & Area and "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area To "C" Commercial 4th Height & Area

TRUMAN H. MONTANDON

301 East 38th Street From "BB" Residence 3705-3709 Grooms Street To "O" Office

There being no further business, Councilman Shanks moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Council adjourned at 4:20 P.M. subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED Low to E Palmer

ATTEST: